A Canadian is traveling on an outerplanar graph...

Laurent Beaudou, Pierre Bergé, Vsevolod Chernyshev, Antoine Dailly, Yan Gerard, Aurélie Lagoutte, Vincent Limouzy, Lucas Pastor

Funded by ANR GRALMECO



Séminaire du LAMA January 16th 2025





Traveled distance = 1



Traveled distance = 1

Some edges are **blocked**, discovered when visiting an endpoint



Traveled distance = 4

Some edges are **blocked**, discovered when visiting an endpoint



Traveled distance = 6

Some edges are **blocked**, discovered when visiting an endpoint



Traveled distance = 19

- Some edges are **blocked**, discovered when visiting an endpoint
- We can always reach the target



Traveled distance = 19Optimal distance = 8

- Some edges are **blocked**, discovered when visiting an endpoint
- We can always reach the target

k-CTP [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis, 1991]

Input: A weighted graph, two vertices s and t.Hidden input: At most k blocked edges.Objective: Go from s to t with minimum traveled distance.

Evaluating a strategy Minimizing the competitive ratio traveled distance optimal distance

k-CTP [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis, 1991]

Input: A weighted graph, two vertices s and t.Hidden input: At most k blocked edges.Objective: Go from s to t with minimum traveled distance.

Evaluating a strategy

Minimizing the competitive ratio traveled distance optimal distance However, unbounded even for planar graphs of treewidth 2!



k-CTP [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis, 1991]

Input: A weighted graph, two vertices s and t.Hidden input: At most k blocked edges.Objective: Go from s to t with minimum traveled distance.

Evaluating a strategy

Minimizing the competitive ratio traveled distance optimal distance However, unbounded even for planar graphs of treewidth 2!

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 & \varepsilon \\ \hline 1 & \varepsilon & \\ \hline 1 & \varepsilon & \\ \hline 1 & \varepsilon & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow ratio = \frac{2k+1+\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \approx 2k+1$$

k-CTP [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis, 1991]

Input: A weighted graph, two vertices s and t.Hidden input: At most k blocked edges.Objective: Go from s to t with minimum traveled distance.

Evaluating a strategy

Minimizing the competitive ratio traveled distance optimal distance However, unbounded even for planar graphs of treewidth 2!



The construction can even be made unit-weighted...

- ► *k*-CTP is PSPACE-complete [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis and Bar-Noy & Schieber, 1991]
- Many variants (probabilistic, multiple travelers, sensing remote edges, temporal graphs...) with applications to robot routing
- ► The GREEDY strategy (follow a shortest path from s to t, when blocked at x, compute a shortest path from x to t) can be arbitrarily bad
- Two deterministic strategies reach competitive ratio 2k + 1 in general graphs:

- ► *k*-CTP is PSPACE-complete [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis and Bar-Noy & Schieber, 1991]
- Many variants (probabilistic, multiple travelers, sensing remote edges, temporal graphs...) with applications to robot routing
- ► The GREEDY strategy (follow a shortest path from s to t, when blocked at x, compute a shortest path from x to t) can be arbitrarily bad
- Two deterministic strategies reach competitive ratio 2k + 1 in general graphs:
 - REPOSITION [Westphal, 2008]: follow a shortest path P, when a blocked edge is revealed on P, go back to s and compute a new shortest path P

- ► *k*-CTP is PSPACE-complete [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis and Bar-Noy & Schieber, 1991]
- Many variants (probabilistic, multiple travelers, sensing remote edges, temporal graphs...) with applications to robot routing
- ► The GREEDY strategy (follow a shortest path from s to t, when blocked at x, compute a shortest path from x to t) can be arbitrarily bad
- Two deterministic strategies reach competitive ratio 2k + 1 in general graphs:
 - REPOSITION [Westphal, 2008]: follow a shortest path P, when a blocked edge is revealed on P, go back to s and compute a new shortest path P
 - COMPARISON [Xu, Hu, Su, Zhu & Zhu, 2009]: trade-off between GREEDY and REPOSITION

- ► *k*-CTP is PSPACE-complete [Papadimitriou & Yannakakis and Bar-Noy & Schieber, 1991]
- Many variants (probabilistic, multiple travelers, sensing remote edges, temporal graphs...) with applications to robot routing
- ► The GREEDY strategy (follow a shortest path from s to t, when blocked at x, compute a shortest path from x to t) can be arbitrarily bad
- Two deterministic strategies reach competitive ratio 2k + 1 in general graphs:
 - REPOSITION [Westphal, 2008]: follow a shortest path P, when a blocked edge is revealed on P, go back to s and compute a new shortest path P
 - COMPARISON [Xu, Hu, Su, Zhu & Zhu, 2009]: trade-off between GREEDY and REPOSITION
- ▶ Non-deterministic strategies can be quite good: competitive ratio $(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})k + O(1)$ [Demaine *et al.*, 2014], but no better than k + 1 [Westphal, 2008]

What now?



What now?



What now?



Our results

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a strategy with competitive ratio 9 for unit-weighted outerplanar graphs.

 \rightarrow Corollary: quotient between maximum and minimum weights bounded by s \Rightarrow competitive ratio 9s

Our results

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a strategy with competitive ratio 9 for unit-weighted outerplanar graphs.

 \rightarrow Corollary: quotient between maximum and minimum weights bounded by s \Rightarrow competitive ratio 9s

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

Our results

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a strategy with competitive ratio 9 for unit-weighted outerplanar graphs.

 \rightarrow Corollary: quotient between maximum and minimum weights bounded by s \Rightarrow competitive ratio 9s

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a family of unit-weighted outerplanar graphs on which no strategy can have competitive ratio < 9.

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]



Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a family of unit-weighted outerplanar graphs on which no strategy can have competitive ratio < 9.



 \rightarrow No strategy can be better than this, which has ratio 9

Competitive ratio 9 on unweighted outerplanar graphs

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a strategy with competitive ratio 9 for unit-weighted outerplanar graphs.
Competitive ratio 9 on unweighted outerplanar graphs

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a strategy with competitive ratio 9 for unit-weighted outerplanar graphs.

Proof Sketch

- 1. Manage articulation points to simplify and decompose the graph
- 2. Use exponential balancing while managing chords, using induction to iterate

Main idea: going from one side to the other without going back to the start can be useful!

Lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a monotone family. If A achieves competitive ratio C on graphs of \mathcal{F} with no articulation point, then, the strategy:

- 1. Remove all useless components
- 2. For every (s, t)-separator z, apply A from s to z then from z to t

Lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a monotone family. If A achieves competitive ratio C on graphs of \mathcal{F} with no articulation point, then, the strategy:

- 1. Remove all useless components
- 2. For every (s, t)-separator z, apply A from s to z then from z to t



Lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a monotone family. If A achieves competitive ratio C on graphs of \mathcal{F} with no articulation point, then, the strategy:

- 1. Remove all useless components
- 2. For every (s, t)-separator z, apply A from s to z then from z to t



Lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a monotone family. If A achieves competitive ratio C on graphs of \mathcal{F} with no articulation point, then, the strategy:

- 1. Remove all useless components
- 2. For every (s, t)-separator z, apply A from s to z then from z to t



Lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a monotone family. If A achieves competitive ratio C on graphs of \mathcal{F} with no articulation point, then, the strategy:

- $1. \ {\sf Remove \ all \ useless \ components}$
- 2. For every (s, t)-separator z, apply A from s to z then from z to t



Lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a monotone family. If A achieves competitive ratio C on graphs of \mathcal{F} with no articulation point, then, the strategy:

- $1. \ {\sf Remove \ all \ useless \ components}$
- 2. For every (s, t)-separator z, apply A from s to z then from z to t





Upper side



Lower side

Upper side



Vertical chord

Lower side

Upper side



Vertical chord

Horizontal chord



 \rightarrow When following a path, we always take open horizontal chords and can ignore what they allow to skip



 \to When following a path, we always take open horizontal chords and can ignore what they allow to skip \to If one side is blocked



 \rightarrow When following a path, we always take open horizontal chords and can ignore what they allow to skip \rightarrow If one side is blocked, then, we switch to the other and can reapply the simplification

















Case 1: When catching up, a vertical chord allows us to go further on the other side than previous budget.



Case 1: When catching up, a vertical chord allows us to go further on the other side than previous budget.

 $\Rightarrow \text{Shortest } sv\text{-path goes through } u$ $\Rightarrow \textbf{Iterate from } u \text{ to } t$



Case 2: When exploring further than previous budget, a vertical chord links us to an unexplored vertex on the other side.



Case 2: When exploring further than previous budget, a vertical chord links us to an unexplored vertex on the other side.

 \Rightarrow Go to the other side and explore <code>back</code>



Case 2: When exploring further than previous budget, a vertical chord links us to an unexplored vertex on the other side.

 \Rightarrow Go to the other side and explore <code>back</code>



Case 2.1: We do not reach the last explored vertex on the other side.



Case 2.1: We do not reach the last explored vertex on the other side.

 $\Rightarrow \text{Shortest } sv \text{-path goes through } u$ $\Rightarrow \textbf{Iterate from } u \text{ to } t$



Case 2.2: We reach the last explored vertex on the other side.



Case 2.2: We reach the last explored vertex on the other side.

 $\Rightarrow \text{Shortest } su\text{-path goes through } v$ $\Rightarrow \textbf{Iterate from } v \text{ to } t$



Case 2.3: u and v are at the same distance from s on their sides.



Case 2.3: u and v are at the same distance from s on their sides.

 $\Rightarrow uv \text{ is just a shortcut between sides}$ $\Rightarrow \textbf{Continue the balancing from } s \text{ to } t$

Proof of the ratio



Core exponential balancing loop:

Proof of the ratio



► Core **exponential balancing** loop: ratio ≤ 9

Proof of the ratio



- ► Core **exponential balancing** loop: ratio ≤ 9
- ► Going back within leftover budget ensures that the ratio remains ≤ 9

Arbitrarily weighted outerplanar graphs

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a family of weighted outerplanar graphs on which no strategy can have constant competitive ratio.
Arbitrarily weighted outerplanar graphs

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a family of weighted outerplanar graphs on which no strategy can have constant competitive ratio.

Sketch of proof

We construct H_i by induction such that no strategy can achieve competitive ratio $C_i = i + \frac{1}{2}$.

Arbitrarily weighted outerplanar graphs

Theorem [BBCDGLLP, 2024]

There is a family of weighted outerplanar graphs on which no strategy can have constant competitive ratio.

Sketch of proof

We construct H_i by induction such that no strategy can achieve competitive ratio $C_i = i + \frac{1}{2}$.



Building H_{i+1} from H_i



Building H_{i+1} from H_i



Strategy: either crossing st, or going down. If going down, either ending up at t, or going back to s to cross st.

Building H_{i+1} from H_i



- Strategy: either crossing st, or going down. If going down, either ending up at t, or going back to s to cross st.
- Carefully choosing α and η small enough and N large enough gives competitive ratio $> C_i + 1 = C_{i+1}$.

Summary



Summary and perspectives!

